Microwave-based stroke diagnosis making global pre-hospital thrombolytic treatment possible
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Abstract—Here we present two different brain diagnostic devices based on microwave technology and the associated two first proof of principle measurements that show that the system can differentiate hemorrhagic from ischemic stroke in acute stroke patients, as well differentiate hemorrhagic patients from healthy volunteers. The system was based on microwave scattering measurements with an antenna system worn on the head. Measurement data were analyzed with a machine-learning algorithm that is based on training using data from patients with a known condition. CT images were used as reference. The detection methodology was evaluated with the leave-one-out validation method combined with a Monte Carlo based bootstrap step. The clinical motivation for this project is that ischemic stroke patients may receive acute thrombolytic treatment at hospitals, dramatically reducing or abolishing symptoms. A microwave system is suitable for pre-hospital use, and therefore has the potential to allow significantly earlier diagnosis and treatment than today.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE global cost of stroke, including direct health care cost, direct non-medical cost and indirect cost, is hard to assess but the total 2010 European cost has been estimated to 64.1 billion € [1]. While the cost for society is huge, the human cost of stroke is horrific. Out of the sufferers of stroke, 5 million people/year die and another 5 million are permanently disabled [2]. The incidence of stroke in patients below 65 years of age is increasing and presently constitutes 20% of all strokes. Almost 80% of all strokes are ischemic (obstructed blood flow), while the rest are hemorrhagic (bleeding into brain or on the surface of the brain). In the western world, stroke is placed third among reasons for acute death, and first among reasons for neurological dysfunction, resulting in most days of hospital nursing and therefore the most costly disease within western world health care [3]. Among stroke survivors, 20% have serious remaining dysfunctions. A much larger proportion has less conspicuous dysfunctions, which still seriously affect quality of life for the patient and relatives.

Early thrombolytic treatment of ischemic stroke is today an established procedure, [4]–[6], but could be disastrous if performed on a patient with a hemorrhagic stroke. Computer Tomography (CT) and sometimes Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) are used to exclude hemorrhagic stroke. The sooner after onset of symptoms the thrombolytic therapy is initiated, the better the effect. According to European guidelines, treatment after 4.5h have elapsed since start of symptoms is not approved as the potential benefit does not outweigh the risk for hemorrhagic complications, which increases with time. While 20-30% of patients arriving at specialized stroke centers may receive thrombolytic treatment, [7], [8] only 1-8% of the entire stroke patient population are given such treatment, [9], mainly due to long lead times in transport to and from the diagnostic instruments, [10], [11]. Although solutions for CT scanning in ambulances have been presented, [12], the global scale of this clinical dilemma motivates efforts to develop new simplified diagnostic procedures capable of pre-hospital differentiation between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke.

Several different technologies have been researched with the aim to develop a compact system that can be used to distinguish ischemic from hemorrhagic stroke in a pre-hospital setting. Ultrasonography can be used to identify large vessel occlusions in acute stroke care, [13] but cannot exclude hemorrhagic transformation of the resulting ischemic regions. Impedance tomography has been proposed as a possible technology to detect ICH in an animal model, [14] and impedance spectroscopy has been suggested as a method to detect stroke related brain asymmetries in man, [15]. While less developed in medical diagnostics, microwave propagation in human tissues has an advantage over both impedance and ultrasound via the easy penetration of the human skull. This is the fundamental basis for the present effort.

Microwave imaging techniques for biomedical applications have been researched for almost three decades. Due to the inherent scattering nature of propagating microwaves in inhomogeneous media, computationally very demanding algorithms are used. It is not until the latest 5-10 years that the
required computational power has become readily available and that clinically relevant results have been obtained. A large part of the efforts have been devoted to microwave imaging for breast cancer detection. Currently this application has come to a phase of initial clinical studies, [17]–[19] where encouraging results have been obtained.

The microwave technique is dependent on the existence of a dielectric contrast between different tissues. A number of studies of the dielectric properties of different human tissue have been made, [20]–[23] and there is a clear indication of a significant contrast between blood and white and gray brain matter. Originating in our own work with microwave tomography applied to breast cancer detection, [24], we have developed a helmet with microwave patch antennas, signal processing methods based on a machine learning algorithm and have performed numerical and phantom studies of hemorrhagic stroke detection, [25], [26], with encouraging results. This application is currently attracting increasing interest among researchers. Recently both numerical studies [27], [28] as well as a phantom study [29] show the ability to detect the presence and location of a bleeding stroke inside the skull. The ambition with our research project is to develop a system that can be used upon arrival in the emergency room, or by ambulance personnel at the scene of incident. The relative simplicity and size of a microwave-based diagnostic system underlined the possibility of creating an ambulance-based pre-hospital diagnostic system for stroke patients.

As already mentioned, a mobile CT-equipped stroke unit enabling pre-hospital diagnosis and treatment has been developed, and a recent trial showed that it achieved a marked reduction of latency from symptom-onset to thrombolytic treatment compared to previous interventional studies [9], [12]. Although CT-equipped vehicles constitute a formidable technical achievement, they may primarily be a solution for countries with a well organized and financed health care system, densely populated areas with well developed traffic and telecommunication infrastructure. Affordable and easily transported equipment for the diagnosis of stroke will remain a priority for countries not meeting this description. A microwave-based system has the advantage of being completely safe and without side effects. The method also has the potential of becoming quite cost effective as the component costs are driven down by the considerably larger telecom industry. Apart from pre-hospital diagnosis and treatment, another application of microwave-based stroke detection may be for monitoring patients undergoing thrombolytic therapy or patients hospitalized after a transitory ischemic attack.

In this paper we discuss the background, design and signal analysis of two microwave based stroke detection systems. We have also performed proof of concept testing using the two prototype systems on patients and healthy volunteers. In the first study we used a bicycle helmet, in which 10 patch antennas were mounted. Measurements were performed using a standard network analyzer and switch box. In the second study a custom-built helmet with 12 antennas was used. This time a dedicated and integrated network analyzer and switching solution were used.

II. Measurements

A. Fundamental Principles for Measurements

Stroke diagnosis with a microwave system is based on measurements and analysis of signals that are transmitted through the brain. The antennas are distributed over the entire head to achieve high detection sensitivity. This is illustrated in Fig. 1. One at a time, each antenna is used as a transmitter, with the remaining antennas in receiving mode, Fig. 2 (a). In total a large number of transmission measurements are made. The basis for detection is to analyze the scattered wave pattern caused by the variations in dielectric contrast in healthy and normal tissue. The dielectric properties of white and gray brain matter at the frequency 1.0 GHz has been measured to \( \varepsilon_r = 38.6, \sigma = 0.62 \text{ S/m} \) and \( \varepsilon_r = 52.3, \sigma = 0.99 \text{ S/m} \) respectively, [20]–[23]. The corresponding properties for blood has been measured to \( \varepsilon_r = 61.1, \sigma = 1.58 \text{ S/m} \). The fact that the properties of blood are different from white and gray matter also provides the basis for detecting the pool of blood caused by a hemorrhagic stroke. Also in the case of an ischemic stroke caused by a blood clot obstructing a vessel, there exists a basis for detection, Fig. 2 (b). The dielectric properties are strongly dependent on the circulation of blood and the oxygenation level. To our knowledge, no in vivo measurements have been made of the dielectric properties of a brain area influenced by reduced circulation. However, tests on bovine brain tissue have shown that dielectric parameters change as a function of time after death [30]. However, these measurements were made at a lower frequency range, 20kHz – 100MHz, than those used in these studies.

B. The Prototype Design Principle

In this section the design of the two systems are described. In order to provide a comfortable fit, patch antennas with a flat surface facing the skull were used. To accommodate varying skull size/shape of patients, containers of soft plastic were placed between skull and antennas and filled with water to fill the gap. The use of the plastic containers ensured a good electromagnetic coupling between the antennas and the skull. The measurements were made with a two-port network.
analyzer integrated with a switch matrix module and computer-controlled in order to automate the measurement procedure for all antenna pairs. The power was about 1 mW, transmitted from one antenna at the time. This is about 100 times lower than the maximum averaged output power of 125 mW that is transmitted from a GSM-cell phone. No adverse effects are therefore expected from use of the developed systems.

The patch antennas used in the these two systems were the same as those used in the hyperthermia applicator developed at Chalmers [31]. This reference contains a detailed description of the antenna. In the second system the antennas were slightly modified with the connector placed on the side of the antenna rather than on the back. However the change of positions were made such that the antenna characteristics were unaltered. This type of antenna is more broadband than for example a monopole or a dipole. In the present helmet-design the antenna operates close to the skull, i.e. in the near-field. In addition to the antenna design the structures in close proximity of the antenna, e.g. plastic containers, skull, helmet, etc. are also influencing the antenna performance. The characterization of the individual antennas and the antenna array must therefore be made in realistic operating conditions, i.e. when it is worn. Under such conditions the reflection coefficients show a resonance frequency at 1.2–1.3 GHz. An individual variation can be seen between antennas, due to the reasons just mentioned. The reflection coefficient is typically below -10 dB in a 500 MHz band around the resonance frequency. To illustrate this, the reflection coefficients showing the typical response for three of the twelve antennas, in the second system, are plotted in Fig. 3. For the transmission coefficient, the maximum value is typically found, in the range 0.8–1.3 GHz. The reason for this lower frequency range is that conductivity of brain tissue increases with frequency, and that the propagation distance between different antenna combinations vary. These two parameters, distance and conductivity, together determine at which frequency the maximum transmission is obtained. The resulting maximum transmission coefficient is typically in the range -20 – -40 dB. Transmission coefficients above -40 dB are typically found in a band 1.0 – 1.5 GHz around this maximum, and this is where we expect to obtain the best diagnostic performance for our system. In this frequency range signals propagating through the thickest part of the head are above the noise floor of the microwave measurement units, and can thus reliably be detected by all antenna pairs. In the present studies, every channel was measured over a large band of frequencies, 0.3–3.0 GHz, which is more than we expect to be useful. Also the transmission coefficients are affected by the same variability due to near field effects as are the reflection coefficients. To exemplify, transmission coefficients for neighboring antennas, i.e. the pair with the highest transmission, and the diagonally opposing antennas, i.e. the antennas with the lowest transmission, have been plotted in Fig. 4.

At the lower end of the measured frequency band a large variability is seen in the measurements, even between measurements on the same patient. This can be understood in terms of capacitive coupling between the antennas, cables and the surroundings, and makes the measurements less reliable, since they are influenced by sources originating from outside the
skull. At the upper end of the frequency scale disturbances can also be anticipated, both in terms of reduced signal strength caused by the increasing losses in the tissue, but also due to variability introduced by the decreased wavelength. When wearing the helmet there will be individual variations in the fitting due to the physique of the patient, e.g. skull size, amount of hair, amount of water in the water containers, and positioning of the helmet, etc. In terms of wavelengths, these variations increase with frequency and cause corresponding uncertainty in the data.

The signal analysis aims at extracting useful information with respect to the optimal antenna performance range and to remove the effect of errors. It is however difficult to exactly determine the limits where the data is useful or not. The approach in these studies has been to consider the useful frequency interval as unknown and as a parameter to be determined in the development procedure and in the clinical testing.

C. Technical development in preparation for clinical tests

In the development towards a microwave based stroke diagnostics device the first step was to design an antenna system that could be fitted on the head and used for microwave scattering measurements. This was performed as a numerical study in the simulation software CST [25]. The simulation was based on a head model from the Visible Human Project [32] male data set. In this model a spherical volume of blood was placed in order to simulate a hemorrhagic stroke [25]. The bleeding was centered 45 mm below the skin surface and positioned just below one antenna. Bleedings ranging 5-30 mm in radius were used for the simulations. When comparing the signal with that of a normal brain a detectable variation in the range 0.5-3 dB in the signal amplitude was found. This is within practically measurable limits and an encouraging result. The results are similar when the bleeding is placed between two antennas, but instead using transmission data for the detection.

A prototype system was then designed and built. It consisted of antennas sending and receiving microwave signals, mounted in a helmet. The helmet is shown in Fig. 5. In this prototype, ten antennas were used, and they are here visible because plastic containers for the matching liquid have been partly removed. In the right part of the helmet, it can however still be seen. This is the same helmet that was used in the first patient study.

In this system a total of 45 independent transmit-receive channels were measured. A single frequency measurement gives the scattering parameter, and measurements were performed over a large number of frequencies. The microwave measurement device was a fully computer-controlled system built on a two-port network analyzer (Agilent E8362 B PNA) as the transmit/receive unit. To fully automatize the experiment, a 2:32 switch multiplexer module (Cytec CXM/128-S-W) was used. The channel isolation was 120 dB.

As a next step this system was used in a lab test using tissue realistic phantom material. The helmet shown in Fig. 5 was used for these measurements. The aim was to model bleedings of different sizes, and to measure and classify these bleedings. By mixing sugar, salt, water and agar a brain phantom with the same dielectric properties as gray matter was created, Fig. 6 (a). Using the same ingredients, with different relative ratios, phantom material with the same properties as blood was also created. Measurements were made on the brain phantom, before and after insertion of blood phantoms of volumes 1, 3, 5 and 10 ml. The measurement data was analyzed with a subspace distance measure and has been reported in [33]. The results of the analysis are shown in Fig. 6 (b). Two main features of importance to our detection problem are worth noting. First, the subspace distances of all cases with a bleeding included are separated from the non-bleeding case. Second, the subspace distance is increasing monotonically with bleeding size. The error-bars show the variation in the leave one out validation.

During the lab tests and also the first patient study it became clear that the first prototype was not optimally designed for its purpose. The main problem was the mechanical strength of the structures holding the antennas in place were to weak. For the second patient study a more robust custom built helmet structure with 12 antennas was constructed, see Fig. 7. This gave 66 independent transmit-receive channels and a custom built and integrated network analyzer and switching solution were used. Specifications of the network analyzer was similar to the previous system, however signal isolation in the switch was 80 dB.

III. Signal Analysis

The microwave measurement data, in terms of the scattering parameters of the transmission channels, were then used in a hemorrhagic stroke detecting algorithm. The algorithm was trained using measurements from subjects with known conditions, i.e. supervised learning. Data from each channel are pre-processed by scaling the values such that the total signal power is equal for all channels. The complex values from all channels are organized into a single complex vector. Finally, the data is transformed by employing the logarithm.

Figure 5. The first prototype system, in which the ten antennas have been mounted on a bicycle helmet. To the right, in the helmet, plastic bags used for the matching liquid can be seen.
to each element of the data vector in order to bring the data
to a better numerical range. The algorithm is based on the
assumption that the noise free data vector from subjects with
a hemorrhagic stroke belongs to a linear subspace and that
the corresponding data from subjects with ischemic stroke
belong to another linear subspace. Labeled training data is
used to identify bases for these subspaces. In addition, since
data from both classes share many common features, reduced
size subspaces for each class are formed. This is accomplished
by removing some of the directions in the subspaces that have
the smallest angles between them. The principle of detection in
the algorithm is based on projecting the data sample under test
onto the two reduced subspaces and calculating the Euclidean
distance of the projected data sample. The largest distance
determines which class is selected, i.e. if a hemorrhagic stroke
is detected or not. A decision offset can be introduced to
change the performance of the detector and thereby improve
the probability of detection, at the cost of an increased false
alarm rate. The detection algorithm is a development of the
original idea of a subspace classifier, i.e. CLAFIC, see [16].

Each matrix element is normalized across the frequency
dimension to equalize the power between channels. The mag-
nitude of the scattering parameters have a large dynamic range.
To mitigate this effect all normalized scattering values are
transformed using the complex logarithm function. Finally
all values from one measurement is embedded into a single
complex vector \( x \in \mathbb{C}^d \). Hence, the elements of the data vector
\( x \) are the elements of the set

\[
\{ \log(s_{ij}(\omega_k)/c_{ij}) | k = 1, \ldots, n_\omega, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n_a \} \tag{1}
\]

where \( n_\omega \) is the number of measured frequencies and

\[
c_{ij} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_\omega} \sum_{k=1}^{n_\omega} |s_{ij}(\omega_k)|^2} \tag{2}
\]

is the normalization constant.

### A. Measurement data and pre-processing

The raw measurement data provided by the antenna array
system are samples of the complex scattering matrix for
a given set of frequencies. For a fixed frequency \( \omega_k \), the
scattering matrix element with row index \( i \) and column index
\( j \) describe the complex gain \( s_{ij}(\omega) \) between the transmission
antenna \( j \) and the receiving antenna \( i \). For reciprocal systems
the scattering matrix is symmetric \( s_{ij}(\omega) = s_{ji}(\omega) \). Hence,
for a reciprocal antenna system with \( n_a \) ports the scattering
matrix has, at most, \( d = \frac{n_a^2 + n_a}{2} \) unique values.

A detection or classification algorithm is a function which
map the data vector \( x \in \mathbb{C}^d \) into a discrete variable \( c \) called
a class label.

\[
c = f(x) \tag{3}
\]
Here we consider the binary classification problem and hence $c$ is binary, i.e., $c \in \{+,-\}$, a class of positives and a class of negatives. If a (statistical) model exists describing the underlying mechanism of how samples $x$ and the corresponding class label are connected, the function $f$ can be derived in various ways\cite{37}. In supervised learning the function $f$ is inferred by selecting $f$ from a class of functions based on a set of available labeled training samples $\{(c_i, x_i)\}$. The stroke detection algorithm is based on a supervised learning method.

Here the set of classification functions is implicitly defined by an assumed model of the data. We assume data samples $x$ corresponding to class $c$ are generated according to

$$
x = \sum_{k=1}^{m_c} U_{c,k}^0 \alpha_k + e = U_{c}^0 \alpha + e$$  

(4)

where $U_{c}$ is a matrix containing the basis vectors $U_{c,k}^0$ as its columns and $\alpha$ represents the corresponding basis weight vector for the specific sample $x$. The vector $e$ is the error between the model $\sum_{k=1}^{m_c} U_{c,k}^0 \alpha_k$ and the measurement $x$. The integer $m_c$ is the dimension of $U_{c}$ and we assume it is significantly smaller than the data dimension, i.e., $m_c \ll d$.

Estimates of the bases are determined using training data for each class respectively. All training data samples for one class is assembled into a matrix

$$
X_c = [x_{c,1}^t, x_{c,2}^t, \ldots, x_{c,t_c}^t]
$$  

(5)

where $x_{c,i}^t$ denote sample $i$ with label $c$ and $t_c$ denote the number of training samples with label $c$. Let the Singular Value decomposition (SVD) \cite{38} be given by

$$
X_c = [U_c \ U_c^\perp] \begin{bmatrix}
\Sigma_c & 0 \\
0 & \Sigma_c^\perp
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
V_c & V_c^\perp
\end{bmatrix}^H
$$  

(6)

where $\Sigma_c$ contains the $m_c$ largest singular values of $X_c$. The matrix $U_c \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times m_c}$ is an estimate of the basis of class $c$. If the SNR is high, or if $m_c \ll t_c$ the range space of $U_c$ will be approximately the same as the range space of $U_{c}^0$ in Eq. 4.

Since the bases of the two classes are derived from samples of data which are noisy the estimated bases will be perturbed. Signal directions in the two signal subspaces which are nearly co-linear will particularly lead to a high variability of the outcome of the classifier. Hence, dimensions in the two signal spaces which are nearly co-linear are removed. Proximity between subspaces are measured using the principal angles.

**Definition 1** (Principal angles). \cite{38}

The principal angles $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \ldots \leq \theta_m \leq \pi/2$ between the subspaces spanned by $U_+ \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times m_+}$ and $U_- \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times m_-}$ are defined as:

$$
\cos(\theta_k) = \max_{q \in U_+} \min_{r \in U_-} \frac{q^T r}{\|q\| \|r\|} \\
subject to:
\|q\| = \|r\| = 1 \\
q^T q_i = 0, \ r^T r_i = 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, k - 1
$$  

(7)

where $q_i$ and $r_i$ are the principal vectors and $m = \min(m_+, m_-)$.

The vectors $q_1$ and $r_1$ defined by (7) are hence the vectors from the two spaces spanned by $U_+$ and $U_-$ respectively which have the smallest angle between them. Assuming, $m_+ = m_-$ and $U_+^H U_+ = U_-^H U_- = I$, the SVD decomposition of the matrix product $U_+^H U_-$ readily yields a solution to the principal angle problem.

$$
U_+^H U_- = Y \text{diag}(\cos \theta_1, \ldots, \cos \theta_m) Z^H
$$  

(8)

Here

$$
Y = [y_1 \ y_2 \ \cdots \ y_m] \\
Z = [z_1 \ z_2 \ \cdots \ z_m]
$$  

(9)

are unitary matrices and $\text{diag}(\cos \theta_1, \ldots, \cos \theta_m)$ is a diagonal matrix with the non-negative singular values on the diagonal and

$$
1 \geq \cos \theta_1 \geq \ldots \geq \cos \theta_m \geq 0.
$$

Reduced bases with the $r$ closest directions removed are given by

$$
U_{r+} = U_+ [y_{r+1} \ \cdots \ y_m] \\
U_{r-} = U_- [z_{r+1} \ \cdots \ z_m].
$$  

(10)

The discrimination rule is defined by

$$
f(x) = \begin{cases}
+ & \text{when } \delta(x) + \beta > 0 \\
- & \text{when } \delta(x) + \beta \leq 0
\end{cases}
$$  

(11)

where

$$
\delta(x) = \|U_{r+} U_{r+}^H x\|^2 - \|U_{r-} U_{r-}^H x\|^2
$$  

(12)

The second equality in Eq. 12 follows since

$$
U_{r+} U_{r+}^H = U_{r-} U_{r-}^H = I.
$$

The rule can be interpreted as follows. The data vector $x$ is projected onto the subspaces spanned by the matrices $U_{r+}$ and $U_{r-}$ respectively. The label is selected according to which of the projected vectors have the largest Euclidean length. A non-zero value of the decision offset $\beta$ in Eq. 11 can be used to bias the detection towards class $+$ if $\beta > 0$ and towards class $-$ if $\beta < 0$. In comparison with\cite{16},\cite{34} the step where the nearly co-linear subspaces are removed is here added. This methodology was introduced in\cite{35},\cite{36}.

In the stroke detection application three consecutive measurements are performed on each subject yielding the measurement set $\{x^i | i = 1, 2, 3\}$. In order to reduce the variance of the classification result, a modified discrimination rule according to

$$
f(\{x^i\}_{i=1}^3) = \begin{cases}
+ & \text{when } \delta(\{x^i\}_{i=1}^3) + \beta > 0 \\
- & \text{when } \delta(\{x^i\}_{i=1}^3) + \beta \leq 0
\end{cases}
$$  

(13)

is employed where

$$
\delta(\{x^i\}_{i=1}^3) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|U_{r+} U_{r+}^H x^i\|^2 - \|U_{r-} U_{r-}^H x^i\|^2
$$

(14)

which in effect uses the average of the three subspace distances
as the input to the threshold function in Eq. 13.

C. Performance assessment by cross-validation

The performance of the classification algorithm is measured by calculating the empirical sensitivity and specificity using leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation. In standard LOO cross-validation, one sample is removed from the training data set and saved for testing the derived classifier. This procedure is repeated for all data samples and finally the empirical performance rates are calculated. The method gives unbiased performance estimates but has a high variance [37]. In this application three measurements from each subject are at hand. A straightforward use of the LOO approach, treating the three measurements as independent samples, would bias the result towards an overoptimistic performance. Hence, a modified LOO validation is employed, where all three data samples from one subject are removed when forming the training set. To reduce the variance of the performance estimate, a Monte Carlo based bootstrap method [39] is used where, in each LOO step, a sequence of training data sets are formed by randomly selecting one measurement out of the three for each of the subject, excluding the LOO subject. For each training data set the classification function \( f(\cdot) \) is constructed and the data from the subject left out is tested. The average performance is then estimated as the empirical outcome over all the randomly created training sets. In this application 100 random training sets are used.

As the classification function is parametrized by the decision offset \( \beta \) in Eq. 13, the empirical estimates of the performance measures are a function of this offset. A receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve is a visualization of how the sensitivity and specificity are related by plotting them against each other [40]. The result is a curve (parametrized by the offset \( \beta \)) which starts at coordinate (0,1) and ends at (1,0). The area under this curve (AUC) is a measure of the quality of the detector. An area of 1 corresponds to the case when the detector perfectly can separate the two classes and area of 0.5 correspond to a detector which randomly assigns the class label, e.g. coin-tossing.

IV. CLINICAL TESTING

We have made two consecutive explorative proof of principle studies, with the two different prototypes, performed at different hospital departments at Sahlgrenska University Hospital. While aiming for a microwave-based investigation as early upon onset of the stroke as possible, the experimental nature of the study did not allow a delay of routine clinical procedures. Only patients with clinically and/or radiologically established Intra Cerebral Hemorrhage (ICH) or Ischemic Stroke (IS), without a history of previous cerebrovascular events, were included in the studies. In each investigation, three consecutive microwave measurements were performed. Patient safety follow up was performed one day after the microwave-based investigation. Written, informed consent was obtained from each patient, before any study related procedure was initiated. The studies were approved by the local Ethical Review Board, and conducted according to Good Clinical Practice and the revised Declaration of Helsinki.

A. The first clinical study

The first study was performed at the department of clinical neurophysiology by engineering and neurophysiology staff. Here we summarize the main results from this study, performed with the same device used for our phantom studies (cf above, Fig. 5). The device was used to investigate 20 patients, diagnosed with acute stroke. The patients were studied in a time window of 7-132 hours after stroke onset, defined as last awareness of healthy condition. Out of the 20 patients enrolled, 9 patients were suffering from ICH and 11 patients from IS. Table I in the Appendix provides more detailed data about the patients. Scattering measurements in the frequency range from 885-1670 MHz were used in this study. Given the limited number of patients in the study, the LOO Monte Carlo approach described in Section III-C was used to assess the performance of the algorithm on these data.

The resulting Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve is shown in Fig. 8. The area under the curve (AUC) is 0.88 gives an indication of the strength of the detection algorithm. This result is obtained by removing 4 nearly co-linear dimensions resulting in a final subspace dimension of 4. Finally, the scatterplot in Fig. 9 shows the averaged squared subspace distance difference for each patient based on a leave-one-out validation method: The patients are arranged along the x-axis according to the time between stroke onset and the measurement occasion. With the hemorrhagic detector aimed at identifying all 9 patients with an ICH, 7 out of 11 IS patients were separated from the ICH group whereas 4 were not.

B. The second clinical study

The second study was performed bedside within a neurology ward, by nursing staff. The patients were studied in a time window of 4-27 hours after stroke onset, defined as last awareness of healthy condition. The performance
of the microwave measurement system with the associated algorithm was evaluated on the clinical data generated by measurements on 25 hospitalized stroke patients. Out of the 25 patients enrolled, 10 patients were suffering from ICH and 15 patients from IS, see Table II in the Appendix for more detailed information. The nurses performing the microwave-based assessment were blinded regarding the result of clinical and radiological investigations performed at admission. In addition, a group of healthy control subjects (n=65, 36 male, age range 23-74), recruited via advertisements within the hospital and university, were investigated.

The available data was grouped into two classes. In each case all measurements from patients with a bleeding stroke formed one class (ICH 10 subjects). The second class was formed in one of the following two ways:

1) Data from patients with an ischemic stroke (IS 15 subjects)
2) Data from healthy subjects (healthy 65 subjects)

We will refer to these test cases as 1) ICH vs IS, 2) ICH vs healthy. In each of the cases the objective of the detection is to discriminate ICH subjects from the others. The measurement bandwidth utilized was 857-1493 MHz and in the classification algorithm we remove 5 nearly co-linear dimensions resulting in a final subspace dimension of 4. The results from the detection of ICH patients, expressed as empirical probabilities from the Monte Carlo investigations are summarized in Figures 10-12. The empirical performance is based on data from MF02 Study and is obtained using the Monte Carlo method. The ROC curves are parametrized by the decision offset $\beta$ in (11). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) is indicated in the legend of the plot for each graph.

sensitivity and specificity. The upper graph is for the ICH vs IS case and the lower graph is for the ICH vs healthy case. Finally, Figure 12 depicts a scatter plot from test case 1, ICH vs IS, illustrating the averaged squared subspace distance difference for each patient, based on the leave-one-out validation method. The patients are arranged along the x-axis according to the time elapsed between stroke onset and measurement. With the hemorrhagic detector aimed at identifying all 10 patients with an ICH, 14 out of 15 IS patients were clearly separated from the ICH group whereas 1 was not. As shown in Figure 12, the variability of the results is increased for patients measured 10h after stroke onset.
Figure 11. Summary of detector performance illustrated as how the Sensitivity and Specificity varies with the decision offset $\beta$ in (11), for ICH vs IS patients (upper graph) and ICH vs Healthy group (lower graph). The empirical performance is based on data from MF02 Study and is obtained using a Monte Carlo method.

V. DISCUSSION

We have designed and built microwave-based measurement systems that can differentiate ICH from IS in acute stroke patients and ICH patients from healthy volunteers. Results from two different clinical pilot-studies demonstrate the effectiveness and limitations of the method developed. The finding, that our first- and second-generation systems generated similar stroke detection results is interesting as the two studies where made with completely different prototypes, performed at two separate departments at the hospital. Our continued clinical studies will evaluate improved analysis paradigms, as well as non-rigid caps carrying the antennas, with the ultimate aim to introduce a simple and affordable pre-hospital stroke diagnostic procedure.

The classification algorithms used for these two studies were derived using labeled sets of training data. The results in Figure 10 show an increased performance when healthy patients are used for training. That the comparison of ICH vs 65 healthy controls gave a better performance than the comparison ICH vs 15 IS was an expected finding, in all probability due to IS related brain edema increasing with the latency from IS onset. At 99.9% sensitivity to detect ICH, the proportion of IS patients safely differentiated was approximately 30%, whereas at 90% ICH sensitivity 65% of IS patients could be differentiated. A larger data-set resulting from an ongoing clinical study involving several hospitals in Sweden, aiming for as early investigation as possible, is expected to increase the predictive power of the algorithms, i.e. the capacity for ICH vs IS differentiation. However, even without such an improvement, the differentiating capacity reported here is of considerable clinical interest given the low percentage of ischemic stroke patients presently being diagnosed in time to get thrombolytic treatment (cf introduction). In a recent Swedish trial, a higher priority level given to stroke patients by the pre-hospital emergency medical service reduced time to arrival at a stroke unit and increased the percentage of patients receiving thrombolytic treatment from 10 to 24%, [41]. Adding reasonably safe pre-hospital information about type of stroke may be expected to improve these figures further, as well as the pre-hospital treatment and triage, [9].

Needless to say, an introduction of pre-hospital thrombolytic treatment based on a microwave scan diagnosis will have to await studies of larger clinical cohorts, and a full clinical translation can only be achieved when stroke clinicians in a non-blinded bedside situation can compare data provided by microwave-based classification to clinical status and other diagnostic procedures. Furthermore, we have presented the measurement system as a stroke detector and illustrated the

Figure 12. Illustration of the distribution of the decision variable, the difference between the squared subspaces distances evaluated for all patients in a leave-one-out validation. The x-axis corresponds to elapsed time from onset of stroke to time of measurement. Data is from case 1 ICH vs IS in MF02 study.
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performance in terms of sensitivity and specificity measures in order to illustrate the merits of the system. However, the detector is based on comparing two derived measures, i.e. the sizes of the measured signal projected onto the subspaces representing each class. In a clinical setting we believe the physician will directly use these continuous measures in order to complement other diagnostic sources before making a final diagnosis.

VI. CONCLUSION

For the first time proof of principle have been presented that microwave-based measurements can differentiate ICH from IS in acute stroke patients as well differentiate ICH from healthy volunteers. The relative simplicity and size of microwave-based systems compared to CT or MR scanners make them easily applicable in a pre-hospital setting. We suggest that microwave technology could result in a substantial increase of patients reaching a stroke diagnosis in time for introduction of thrombolytic treatment. The socioeconomic ramifications of such a development are obvious not only in the industrial world but also, and perhaps even more so, in the developing world.
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APPENDIX A

PATIENT AND STROKE CHARACTERISTICS, CLINICAL STUDY 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Site of lesion</th>
<th>Volume (ml)</th>
<th>Latency stroke to study (h:min)</th>
<th>NIHSS at investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right F</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>132:00</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25:00</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left T</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>55:05</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right TO</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>77:10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49:55</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left FTP</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>128:00</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left BG</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60:40</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left TP</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19:38</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right FTP</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>62:13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Right BG</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>26:10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right BG</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>94:20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left F, INS</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>47:10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left FTP</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>37:15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Right BG</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>36:10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left BG</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>7:30</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left BG</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23:35</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Right THAL</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>21:15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left THAL</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>19:40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right TF</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>26:05</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left TO</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>27:55</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**PATIENT AND STROKE CHARACTERISTICS, CLINICAL STUDY 2**

Table II  
**ABBREVIATIONS:** NIHSS - NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH STROKE SCALE, IS - ischemic stroke, ICH intracerebral haemorrhage, F - frontal, T - temporal, O - occipital, P - parietal, BG - basal ganglia, INS - insula, THAL - thalamus, CAPS E - capsula externa, COR RAD - corona radiata, VENT PEN - ventricular penetration, SAH PEN - subarachnoidal haemorrhage penetration, C SEMI CENTRUM SEMIOVALE, SDH - subdural haemorrhage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Site of lesion</th>
<th>Volume (ml)</th>
<th>Latency stroke to study (h:min)</th>
<th>NIHSS at investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left FT, INS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18:10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right P</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>15:30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left CAPS E, INS</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>16:15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left BG, VENT PEN</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>16:35</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right COR RAD</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left FP, SAH PEN</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26:45</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left C SEMI</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>21:30</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left BG</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24:20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right T, INS</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23:35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right F, BG</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right F</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>25:05</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right COR RAD</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Not visualized</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20:55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left THAL, VENT PEN</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10:42</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left BG</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4:28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right TP</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>21:52</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21:02</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Not visualized</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4:57</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right COR RAD</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>24:55</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Right FP, SDH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13:16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Left FT</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>19:27</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Right TP</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6:27</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left BG, VENT PEN</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20:05</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Right BG, VENT PEN</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>23:44</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Left THAL</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>11:28</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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